

Appln No. 10/712,601
Amdt date March 28, 2005
Reply to Office action of January 12, 2005

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-12 and 14-29 are pending herein, with claims 1, 3, 14, 15 and 25 being amended to substitute the term "method" for "system." Claim 13 is canceled and its limitations are inserted into amended claims 14-16.

The Examiner objects to claims 1, 3, 13, 14 and 15 because there is insufficient basis for the term "the system". Applicant amended claims 1, 3, 14 and 15 to substitute the term "method" for "system." Claim 25 is similarly amended. Accordingly, this ground of objection is overcome.


The Examiner rejects claim 13 under 25 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipatd by Ogg et al. (PUB. No. US 2002/0073039 A1). Applicant deletes claim 13 herein.

The Examiner allows claims 1-9 and 17-29. The Examiner objects to claims 14-16 as being dependent upon a rejected base claim but indicates that they would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claims 14-16 are amended herein to include the limitations of canceled base claim 13.

Based on the foregoing, claims 1-12 and 14-29 are believed to be in condition for allowance. If the Examiner has any

**Appln No. 10/712,601
Amdt date March 28, 2005
Reply to Office action of January 12, 2005**

remaining issues, a telephone call to the undersigned would be appreciated.

Respectfully submitted,
CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP
By 
Daniel R. Kimbell
Reg. No. 34,849
626/795-9900

DRK/eaj
EAJ PAS614556.1--03/28/05 12:03 PM